





IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States.

THE NORTHERN PACIFIC RAIL-
ROAD COMPANY AND THE
NORTHERN PACIFIC RAILWAY
COMPANY,

PLAINTIFFS IN ERROR,

v.

SERETTA O. FREEMAN AND OTHERS.

No. 241.

REPLY BRIEF FOR PLAINTIFFS IN ERROR.

Counsel for defendants in error concede the correctness in the main of our statement of facts, but question it in one or two particulars. This reply will be confined to showing what the evidence really is upon these points of disagreement.

Counsel claims (brief, p. 4) that witness Baldwin, testifying to the condition of the ground *at the time of the accident*, testified that deceased did not have an *unobstructed* view three hundred feet down the track until he was twenty-five feet from it (instead of forty feet as we have stated the fact.)

In our statement we aimed to give the facts most fav-